

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/708,276	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NABEL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> David Guzo	<b>Art Unit</b> 1636	

**All Participants:**

(1) David Guzo.

(2) John Murray.

**Status of Application:** amended

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 December 2004

**Time:** 1:30

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*29, 38 and 40*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicants' representative gave approval for an examiner's amendment to claims 29, 38 and 40, said amendment placed the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*David Guzo*  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)